Pablo E. Bustos Esq., Bar No.:4122586 BUSTOS & ASSOCIATES, P.C. 225 Broadway 39th Floor New York, NY 10007-3001 212-796-6256 Office pbustos@bustosassociates.com Attorney for the Creditor Conrad P Burnett Jr

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

		X	
In re:		:	Chapter 11
		:	
		:	Case No. 12-12020 (MG)
Residential Capital, LLC,	C, et al.	:	
		:	Jointly Administered
	Debtors.	:	•
Y			Hon Martin Glenn

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND PROOF OF CLAIM NO.7413

Creditor Conrad P Burnett Jr moves the court pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure Rule 15(a)(2) and Bankruptcy Rule 3003(c)(3) for leave to file an amendment to Proof of Claim No. 7413 beyond the bar date in the following particulars and grounds for this Motion would state as follows:

- 1. On January 8, 2014 the Liquidating Trust on behalf of the Debtor filed a [Proof of Claim No. 7413] on behalf of the Creditor Conrad P Burnett Jr in the amount of \$375,395.00. Creditor cannot ascertain how Debtor calculated these figures which appear to duplicate prior claims filed by Creditor; however, at the very least the amendment would correct the amount to reflect true administrative expenses and as a mechanism providing the Debtor and its creditors with finality.
- 2. The amended proof of claim accurately reflects the true nature of this claim for administrative expenses for Attorney's fees both pre and post-petition costs to litigate and enforce Creditors' claims.

- 3. Bankruptcy Rule 3003(c) (3) directs a bankruptcy court to establish a bar date beyond which proofs of claim are disallowed in a chapter 11 case. *See*, *Gulf States Exploration Co. v. Manville Forest products Corp. (In re Manville Forest Products Corp.*), 89 B.R. 358, 374 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1988)
- 4. The bankruptcy judge has the discretion to grant or deny an amendment to a timely filed proof of claim. *See also*, *In re McLean Industries, Inc.*, 121 B.R. 704, 708 (Bankr. S.D. N.Y. 1990); the bankruptcy court must take care that an amendment would truly amend a timely filed proof of claim rather than assert a new claim. Creditor Conrad P Burnett Jr did file an original timely proof of claim.
- 5. Although the Bankruptcy Code nor Bankruptcy Rules directly address amendment of a proof of claim, however, determining whether the first prong of the two-prong test is satisfied this Court has determined the application of F.R.C.P. Rule 15(c) and pursuant to Bankruptcy Rules 7015 and 9014(c), to analyze such an amendment, *Enjet, Inc. v. Maritime Challenge Corp. (In re Enjet, Inc.)*, 220 B.R. 312, 315 (E.D. La. 1998) and *Liddle v. Drexel Burnham Lambert Group, Inc.*, 159 B.R. 420, 425 (S.D.N.Y. 1993); this amended claim relates back to the date of the original claim.
- 6. Creditor feels this was an affirmative act/misrepresentation by GMAC Joint-Debtor; and therefore, no showing of intent is required. *See, In Re Residential Capital, LLC*, et al., No. 12-12020 [Docket No. 7619] (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. October 6, 2014) the amount of the amended proof of claim would represent Attorney's fees only for the cost to litigate with Joint-Debtor GMAC both pre & post-petition to enforce the creditors' rights under a contract, and consumer fraud. The amendment to *Id.* 7413 would only represent restitution for the costs paid to counsel and burden to defend against Joint-Debtor GMAC. If the court does not permit the amendment of the Proof of Claim the

Creditor would suffer irreparable harm and damage in an amount that cannot reasonable be ascertained.

7. Creditor has attached the Amended Proof of Claim and is affixed herewith and marked as Exhibit "1" and incorporated for reference.

WHEREFORE, Creditor Conrad P Burnett Jr prays that this Honorable Court will grant leave to file an amendment to his proof of claim for administrative expenses for Attorney's Fees and all other relief this court deems just and proper.

Date: 12/15/2014 Respectfully Submitted,

/s/_Pablo E. Bustos_

Pablo E. Bustos, Esq. *Attorney for the Creditor*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing MOTION FOR

LEAVE TO AMEND PROOF OF CLAIM NO.7413 is to be electronically filed with
the Clerk of the Court using ECF system, which sent notification of such filing to all ECF
participants requesting electronic service.

Date: 12/15/2014 Respectfully Submitted,

/s/_ Pablo E. Bustos____

Pablo E. Bustos, Esq. *Attorney for the Creditor*